### INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

### MCDR inspection REPORT

### Hyderabad regional office

	Mine :	file No : AP/VZNR/MN-2	/H	Mine code : 40APR20028
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	MQ29 ) MADHU SUDHAN YADAV M
	(ii)	Designation	:	Assistant Controller Mine
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	A.Vishwanath Rao Naidu, Mine Manager, Sri.K.Ashok Red
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	21/01/2020
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	09/01/2018
		PAF	۲-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	SADANANDPURAM
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/536/2011
	(c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date Weekly day of rest	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A Other than Fully Mech. Opencast ANDHRA PRADESH VIZIANAGARAM SADANANDPURAM GURLA SHREERAMNAGAR 08952-282021 08952-282021 GARIVIDI 15/09/1985 SUN
2.		ess for espondance	:	M/S R.B.S.S.D.& F.N. DAS, PO:SRIRAM NAGAR,MANDAL: GARIVIDI, DIST:VIZIAYANAGARAM.
3.	· · /	Lease area	:	APR0240 56.84 20 14/09/2025
4.	Miner	ral worked	:	MANGANESE ORE Main

5.	Name and Address of the		
	Lessee :	R.B.S.S.D&F.N.DAS	
		SHREERAMNAGAR VIZAINAGARAM	
		VIZIANAGARAM ANDHRA PRADESH Phone:	
		FAX :	
	Owner :	M/SRBSSD%F.N.DAS	
		SREERAMNAGAR(P), VIZIANAGARAM VIZIANAGARAM ANDHRA PRADESH Phone: 0892-282041	
		FAX :	
	Mining Engineer		
	Name :	P.S.K.KISHORE,Part Time	
	Qualification :	A.M.I.E	
	Appointment/ : Termination date	03/05/2005	
б.	Date of approval of Min Plan/Scheme of Mining	hing : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 31/01/ Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 31/01/ Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 14/03/ Modif.approved Mining Scheme 17/12/	2006 2011

#### PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

# Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	2015-16 : 9 Core and 3 DTH 2016-17 : 16 Core 2017-18 : NIL 2018-19 : NIL 2019-20 : NIL	25 Core boreholes and 3 DTH were drilled in the year 2017.	
lb	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	proposed to	13.68 Ha, mineralised area is explored under G1 Axis.	
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Rs.4200/meter	A total of Rs.33,65,500 was incurred for the exploration (Rs.4100/- per meter) as reported by the lessee.	
ld	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Nil	Nil	
le	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Reserves as per previous approved plan is 1839594 Tonnes	Reserves as on 21/01/2020 is 1711074 Tonnes	
lf	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			

# Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	•	Mining operations were carried out on section lines P-P' & Q-Q', in the RL upto 25m	Violation issued as per Rule.
2b	overburden and	Seperate benches for ore and overburden was proposed.	The benches were collapsed in some of the areas.	Violation issued as per Rule.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:1.62	1:1.89	

2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Nil	Nil
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	60013 cu.m	40032 cu.m
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc		

The benches were collapsed in some of the areas and proposed height of 3m, 6m width of the benches and haul road gradient were not maintained. Violation issued as per rule.

### Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	1	1	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	2019-20 : 99999 Te	2019-20 : 20580 Te (till Dec'19)	
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	70%	70%	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No proposal	Nil	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	No proposal	Nil	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	No proposal	Nil	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Nil	Nil	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual sorting	Manual sorting carried out.	

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Nil	Nil	
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	No drilling and blasing was proposed	No drilling and blasting is being carried out.	
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Ore benches working is proposed to carry out manually.	Work done as per proposal.	
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	It was porposed to maintain a bench height of 3m in OB and 1.5m in ore	The benches were not maintained as per the proposal.	Violation issued as per the rule.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	15.37 На	15.50 Ha	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1:1.62	1:1.89	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	34.04 Ha	34.04 Ha	
3р	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2015-16 : 99621 Te 2016-17 : 99971 Te 2017-18 : 99990 Te 2018-19 : 99990 Te 2019-20 : 99999 Te	2015-16 : 21860 Te 2016-17 : 41710 Te 2017-18 : 22080 Te 2018-19 : 21960 Te 2019-20 : 20580 Te (till Dec'19)	
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.			Deviations found in the benches formation and production area. Violation issued as per rule.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

4a Separate dumping It was Dumps maintained as per proposed to of topsoil, OB proposal. and mineral dump OB rejects (Rule seperately and 32,33) waste on the northern side of the lease in the existing dump. 4b Location of It was Dump is maintained in the northern side of the topsoil, OB and proposed to dump the OB on lease area. mineral reject the northerns dumps side of the lease area. 4c Number of dumps 3 dumps 3 dumps within the lease within lease area. area and outside of lease area 4d Location of Northwest side As per the proposal dump dumps w.r.t. of the lease is maintained ultimate pit area limit (Rule 16) 4e Number of active 1 active and 2 1 active and 2 old dumps and alive dumps. old dumps are maintained. 4f Number of dead Nil Nil dumps. 4g Number of dumps 1 1 established. 4h Whether It was Retaining wall not Violation issued Retaining wall proposed to constructed and garland as per rule. or garland drain construct a drain was filled with all along dumps garland drain silt. are there. and retaining wall around the dump. 4i Length of Retaining wall Retaining wall not Retaining wall of 850m (L) constructed and garland or garland drain x2m (w) x 1.5m drain was filled with all along dumps (H) & Garland silt. drain of 850m (L) x2m (w) x 1m(D) 4j Number of Nil Nil settling ponds

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management Retaining wall and garland drain were proposed all around the dump yard to prevent erosion but during inspection the retaining wall was not found to be constructed and the garland drain was filled with silt. Violation issued as per rule.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No proposal	Nil	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No proposal	Nil	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	No proposal	Nil	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No proposal	Nil	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			Ore was not exhausted in the pit and thus there was not backfilling and reclamation proposed.
	Progressive Mine (	Clousre Plan:		
Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Annual reported to be submitted.	Submitted in time.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	No proposal	Nil
бс	afforestation done (ha).	0.225 Ha	0.64 Ha
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	600	1000
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	2310	6000
6f	Any other method of	No proposal	Nil
6	rehabilitation	N	
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	NO Proposal	Lessee has reported that Rs.60000/- was incurred for watch and care.
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	No proposal	Nil
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	No proposal	Nil
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	No proposal	Nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No proposal	Nil

Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	No	proposal	Nil
Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	No	proposal	Nil
Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation	No	proposal	Nil

	of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)		
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	No proposal	Nil
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	It was proposed to carry out environmental monitoring in the core and bufferzone.	Monitoring is carried out as per proposal.
бq	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.		

#### Mineral Conservation:

61

бm

бn

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	99999 Te	20580 Te upto Dec'19	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual	Manual	

7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	No	proposal	Nil
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	No	proposal	Nil
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	No proposal	Nil	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No proposal	Nil	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Seperate dumps proposed	Dumps maintained as per proposal.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No proposal	Nil	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No proposal	Nil	

8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Proposed	Plantation done and maintained	
8g	Survival rate	No proposal	60%	
8h		to use water	Water sprinkling done to control dust.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			Bushes were noticed in the lease area near the dumps.

# Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Returns to be submitted	Returns submitted by the lessee in time.	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer and Geologist shall be appointed.	Mining Engineer and Geologist appointed as per rule.	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Ha,	Pit - 15.37 Ha, Dump - 10.7 Ha	
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	600 sapling proposed	1000 saplings planted	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Nil	Nil	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Nil	Nil	

9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining

machineries

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out						
	Violation observed Show couse position					
Rule 1	NO.	Issued on	Compliance o	n Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	
MCDR17	Rule 11(2)	12/02/2020				
MCDR17	Rule 19(1)	12/02/2020				

Date :

(MADHU SUDHAN YADAV M)

Indian Bureau of Mines